Analysis in Vivo of Turnip Crinkle Virus Satellite RNA C Variants with Mutations in the 3'-Terminal Minus-Strand Promoter
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Turnip crinkle virus and its associated RNA, sat-RNA C, share similar, but not identical hairpins near their 3' ends and terminate with CCUGCCC-OH, which forms a single-stranded tail. With an in vitro transcription system containing partially purified TCV RdRp, the 3'-terminal 29 bases making up the hairpin and single-stranded tail were previously demonstrated to be required for transcription, and alterations in the stem, but not the loop, could affect template activity (C. Song and A. E. Simon, 1995, J. Mol. Biol. 254, 6–14). We have now analyzed sat-RNA C mutants in the 3' hairpin for ability to accumulate in vivo. While active templates in vitro were able to accumulate in vivo, some very weak templates in vitro were also able to accumulate in vivo without reversion or second-site alterations. Computer models of hairpin structure indicated that biologically active promoters could have hairpins less stable than wild type, with loops of variable length and sequence, and without a need for a 6-base single-stranded tail. In addition, transcripts containing compensatory exchanges in the upper stem region that had limited activity in vitro were biologically active in vivo, indicating that positioning of specific bases in the stem is not required to produce an active minus-strand promoter.

INTRODUCTION

Replication of positive-sense, single-stranded RNA viruses begins with an interaction between the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) and sequences or structural elements that make up the promoter for minus-strand synthesis and are usually contained within the 3'-terminal 200 bases of the viral RNA (French and Ahlquist, 1987; Dreher and Hall, 1988; Takamatsu et al., 1990; Boccard and Baulcombe, 1993; Ball and Li, 1993; Rohll et al., 1993; Duggal et al., 1994; Lin et al., 1994; Havelda et al., 1995). Structural elements contained in the 3'-terminal region of many viruses include tRNA-like structures, pseudoknots, and small hairpins, some of which have been shown to be important in virus replication (Jupin et al., 1990; Pilipenko et al., 1992; Tsai and Dreher, 1992; Jacobson et al., 1993; Rohll et al., 1993, 1995; Duggal et al., 1994; Havelda and Burgyan, 1995). Many viruses are associated with small, dispensable subviral RNAs that require the replication machinery of a specific helper virus for amplification. Defective interfering (DI) RNAs are deletion derivatives of the helper virus (Roux et al., 1991), while satellite (sat-) RNAs share no or partial sequence similarity with their helper virus (Simon and Howell, 1986; Roossinck et al., 1992). Since DI and sat-RNAs must contain specific cis-sequences and/or structures required for replication by their helper virus RdRp and are usually much smaller than their corresponding helper virus, they have proven to be valuable tools for elucidating RdRp promoter sequences (Levis et al., 1986; Tsang et al., 1988; Li et al., 1991; Kim et al., 1993; Lin and Lai, 1993; Thomson and Dimmock, 1994; Chang et al., 1995; Havelda et al., 1995; Song and Simon, 1995).

Turnip crinkle virus (TCV) is a 4054-base single-stranded, positive-sense RNA virus that belongs to the carmovirus group (Heaton et al., 1989; Oh et al., 1995). TCV is naturally associated with several sat- and DI RNAs that do not possess open reading frames and require a co-inoculated viral genomic RNA for replication (Simon and Howell, 1986; Li et al., 1989). Sat-RNA C is a chimeric RNA of 356 bases that consists of a nearly full-length smaller sat-RNA (sat-RNA D) at its 5' end linked to two regions from the 3' end of TCV (Simon and Howell, 1986, Fig. 1A). TCV and sat-RNA C share similar, but not identical, hairpins near their 3' ends. In addition, all TCV-associated RNAs terminate with 5' CCUGCCC-OH at their 3' ends, the last 6 bases of which form a single-stranded tail and are required for replication (Carpenter et al., 1995; Carpenter and Simon, 1996a,b; Nagy et al., 1997). The genomic RNAs of other carmoviruses (Guilley et al., 1985; Nutter et al., 1989; Skotnicki et al., 1993) also contain 3'-terminal sequences predicted to fold into a stable hairpin and similar 6-base single-stranded tails (Song and Simon, 1995).

An in vitro transcription system containing partially purified TCV RdRp is able to synthesize full-length comple-
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templates containing mutations in the lower stem, upper stem, and loop regions of the hairpin in vitro transcription reactions indicated: (1) the size and sequence of the loop are not important, (2) mutations that disrupt the lower stem substantially reduce template activity that is restored by compensatory mutations, and (3) mutation of multiple bases in the upper stem substantially reduces template activity that is not substantially restored by compensatory mutations (Song and Simon, 1995).

For the current study, we analyzed a number of sat-RNA C mutants for ability to accumulate in vivo and compared the results with the RNA's in vitro transcription activity. While templates that were highly active in vitro were all able to accumulate in vivo without reversion or second-site alterations, some very weak templates in vitro were also able to accumulate in vivo. Analysis of computer-predicted structures for sat-RNA C mutants that accumulated in plants confirmed and extended the prior in vitro analysis, indicating that biologically active promoters could have hairpins both more and less stable than wild type, with loops of variable length and sequence, and without a need for a 6-base single-stranded tail. In addition, transcripts containing compensatory exchanges in the upper stem region were biologically active in vivo, indicating that positioning of specific bases in the stem is not required to produce an active minus-strand promoter.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Preparation of infectious transcripts, plant inoculations, and detection of sat-RNA C

Construction of plasmids containing mutations in the 3′-terminal hairpin have been previously described (Song and Simon, 1995). Prior to transcript synthesis, plasmids were linearized with SmaI, except for mutant Cm11, which was linearized with BamHI since it contains an internal SmaI site. Linearized plasmids were transcribed in vitro using T7 RNA polymerase as described (Carpenter et al., 1995). The RNA transcripts were full-length sat-RNA C containing the natural 5′ end and either the natural 3′ end (SmaI-digested plasmids) or 5 additional 3′-end bases (BamHI-digested plasmid). Two-week-old turnip seedlings were inoculated with sat-RNA C mutant transcripts and TCV transcripts prepared of mutants in italics below the altered sequences.

Fig. 1. TCV and its associated sat-RNA C. (A) Origin of sequences that constitute sat-RNA C. Sat-RNA C is a hybrid RNA formed from recombination between sat-RNA D and TCV. Similar regions are shaded alike. Numbering above the RNA diagrams corresponds to positions in TCV isolate TCV-M (Oh et al., 1995). (B) Structure of the sat-RNA C 3′-terminal promoter required for minus-strand synthesis. The structure of the wild-type hairpin was determined by structure-probing experiments (Song and Simon, 1995). The 3′-terminal 29 bases (from position 328 at the 5′ base of the hairpin) were required for template activity in vivo in a deletion analysis (Song and Simon, 1995). Sequences of mutants derived from sat-RNA C are shown, with names of mutants in italics below the altered sequences.
**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Polarity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cDNA amplification for</td>
<td>DM4</td>
<td>206–223</td>
<td>5'-GGGACCAAAAAACGGG</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cloning and sequencing</td>
<td>Oligo 7</td>
<td>338–356</td>
<td>5'-GGGCAGGCCGCCCGTCCGA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;17&lt;/sub&gt; adapter</td>
<td>Adapter</td>
<td></td>
<td>5'-CCACTCAGTGCACTGCA(T)&lt;sub&gt;17&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site-directed mutagenesis</td>
<td>SL22</td>
<td>57–78</td>
<td>5'-AAACCTGACTGACACCTCAC</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligo V</td>
<td></td>
<td>342–356</td>
<td>5'-GGGCAGCAGCTCCCGCGCA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligo V2</td>
<td></td>
<td>338–356</td>
<td>5'-GGGCAGCAGCTCCCGCGCA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligo V3</td>
<td></td>
<td>327–356</td>
<td>5'-GGGCAGCAGCTCCCGCGCA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Positions in sat-RNA C.

<sup>b</sup> Bases in italics are primary mutation sites within the oligonucleotide. Bases in bold italics are second-site mutations.

<sup>c</sup> ‘‘−−’’ and ‘‘++’’ polarities are complementary or identical, respectively, to plus-strand sat-RNA C.

were used to amplify the 3′ region of sat-RNA C cDNA by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using conditions previously described (Carpenter and Simon, 1996b). Following treatment with DNA polymerase large fragment, sat-RNA C cDNA was ligated to Smal-digested pUC19T7 (Song and Simon, 1995). Plasmids purified from colonies were sequenced using Sequenase Version I (Amersham) and primer DM4.

### Construction of marker mutations

Several sat-RNA C mutants gave rise to progeny in vivo with wild-type sequence. Since discrimination was required between reversion of the mutant transcripts to wild-type sequence and possible contamination of wild-type sat-RNA C, a 4-base marker mutation was introduced into the 5′ region of these sat-RNA C mutants (Cm1 and Cm2) by digesting the plasmids with Ncol, which cleaves 105 bp from the 5′ end of the sat-RNA C cDNA, and treating the ends with Escherichia coli DNA polymerase I (large subunit) followed by intramolecular ligation. Progeny of these transcripts accumulating in plants were cloned as described above except that oligonucleotide SL22 (Table 1) was used in place of DM4. Sat-RNA cDNA in the plasmids was sequenced with oligonucleotide SL22 to confirm disruption of the Ncol site.

### RNA secondary structure analysis

The M-fold program from the GCG package (Genetics Computer Group, Inc., University of Wisconsin) was used to predict the most stable secondary structure for the 3′ ends of sat-RNA C mutants.

### Site-directed mutagenesis of sat-RNA C within the 3′-end hairpin region

To prepare transcripts from mutants containing second-site alterations for in vitro analysis of template activity, PCR was used to introduce site-specific mutations into full-length sat-RNA C cDNA using oligonucleotides DM4 and V, V2, and V3 (Table 1) for second-site mutants Cm10b, Cm10a, and Cm10c, respectively. Oligonucleotides Oligo 7 and DM4 were used to amplify DNA directly from cDNA clones of second-site mutants Cm2a, Cm7a, and Cm9a. The amplified regions were digested with BstEII and ligated into Smal/BstEII-digested pUC19T7C(+). Sequences were confirmed by DNA sequencing using primer DM4.

In vitro transcription of sat-RNA C using partially purified TCV RdRp

pUC19T7C(+) derived plasmids containing full-length wild-type or mutant sat-RNA C cDNA were linearized with Smal and transcripts synthesized using T7 RNA polymerase. Two micrograms of RNA transcripts were subjected to RNA-directed RNA synthesis in the presence of [α-<sup>32</sup>P]UTP and partially purified RdRp extracts (Song and Simon, 1994). Products were analyzed by electrophoresis on denaturing 5% polyacrylamide sequencing-length gels. Following electrophoresis, gels were incubated in ethidium bromide for 20 min for template detection, dried, and exposed to X-ray film. Autoradiograms from three independent experiments were quantified using an imaging densitometer and software provided by the manufacturer (Bio-Rad). Product levels were normalized to the level of template, which was quantified by densitometry following photography of the stained gels.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Effects of mutations in the 3′-end hairpin on accumulation of sat-RNA C in vivo**

To analyze the relationship between template activity in vitro and ability to accumulate in vivo, sat-RNA C mutants containing modifications in the 3′-end hairpin were inoculated onto turnip plants along with TCV helper virus. All mutations altered the sequence and stability of the hairpin, the sequence of the hairpin while maintaining hairpin stability, or the sequence of the loop region (Fig. 1B). At 2 weeks postinoculation, total RNA was isolated from plants and analyzed for the presence of sat-RNA
### Effect of Mutations in the 3' Hairpin on Accumulation and Composition of Sat-RNA C in Vivo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mutant</th>
<th>In vitro activity$^a$</th>
<th>Sat-RNA C present$^a$</th>
<th>No. of clones with original mutations</th>
<th>No. of clones reverted to wild type</th>
<th>Second-site alterations$^d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Cm4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Cm1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>7/3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Cm2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2/6</td>
<td>8/2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Cm10</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>8/12</td>
<td>13/3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II/III</td>
<td>Cm9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>10/2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Cm3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>9/4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Cm5</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>3/1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Cm7</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>8/1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Cm8</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>4/1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Cm11</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>4/2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Cm12</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>6/2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Cm16</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Activity levels are from Song and Simon (1995). Wild-type sat-RNA C level was ++.
$^b$ Number of plants containing sat-RNA C species visible in ethidium bromide-stained gel/total number of plants inoculated. The amount of sat-RNA that accumulated in positive plants was approximately equivalent for all mutants and wild type.
$^c$ Number of clones sequenced/number of plants from which the clones were derived.
$^d$ Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of clones recovered with the sequence shown.

C-sized species, which are normally clearly visible in ethidium bromide-stained gels. Sat-RNA C species accumulating in plants were then cloned and their sequences determined. The mutants chosen for the in vivo analysis were Cm1, Cm2, Cm4, Cm9, Cm10, and Cm11 (low in vitro transcription activity using TCV RdRp extracts; Song and Simon, 1995) and Cm5, Cm7, Cm8, Cm12, and Cm16 (nearly as active or more active in in vitro transcription than wild type).

Based on the results of the in vivo accumulation and sequence analysis described below and summarized in Table 2, the mutants were subdivided into four general groups based on whether or not inoculation of transcripts led to accumulation in plants and whether the original mutations were maintained in the accumulating sat-RNA C. Group I was designated for mutants that did not produce any detectable sat-RNA C in plants. The only mutant in this class was Cm4, which contained a 4-base alteration in the lower stem (G347GGC to UCCG; number refers to position in sat-RNA C) that was predicted to substantially alter the structure of the promoter region (Fig. 2). The nonviability of Cm4 transcripts in plants was in correspondence with prior in vitro transcription results using partially purified TCV RdRp where no detectable full-length minus strands were observed (Song and Simon, 1995).

Group II mutants were designated as those that were able to accumulate in plants, but all cDNAs derived from the accumulating sat-RNA C had reversions of the mutations or second-site alterations. Sat-RNA C in plants inoculated with Group II mutants (and Groups II/III and III described below) accumulated to the same level as wild type in all plants in which there was detectable sat-RNA (data not shown). Cm1, containing a single base alteration in the lower stem region (G328 to A), accumulated sat-RNA C; however, all recovered sat-RNA C species sequenced had reverted to wild type (Table 2). Lack of biological activity of Cm1 was in correspondence with the low level of activity found in vitro (Song and Simon, 1995). The predicted structure of the promoter region of Cm1 differed somewhat from the wild-type structure (Fig. 2), with a 32% loss in stability of the hairpin and an additional residue in the single-stranded tail. Cm2, also categorized as a group II mutant, contained a 2-base alteration in the lower stem region (G328 to A and C330 to A) that was predicted to substantially alter the structure of the lower stem (Fig. 2). Only two of six plants inoculated with Cm2 contained sat-RNA C. Of the eight Cm2-derived species cloned from the two plants, five had reverted to wild type and three contained a second site alteration (U331 to G; Cm2a). The predicted 3'-end structure for Cm2a was a hairpin that was 30% more stable than Cm2. The lack of biological activity in vivo of Cm2 was in correspondence with low levels of sat-RNA C synthesized during in vitro transcription using RdRp extracts (Song and Simon, 1995).

A third Group II mutant, Cm10, contained three consecutive base alterations in the upper stem (C332CC to GGG). Cm10 was predicted to have a longer 3' hairpin than wild type, with a shorter loop sequence and only a 3-base single-stranded tail (Fig. 2). Eight of 12 plants inoculated...
FIG. 2. Secondary structures of original sat-RNA C mutants and their derivatives. The names of the mutants and the stability (in kcal/mol) of the hairpins (as determined by the FOLDRNA program) are given below the structures. Equal lengths of RNA were used for the analyses with the exception of Cm10a. Original mutations are shown as white letters in black boxes. Sat-RNA C with second-site alterations accumulating in plants derived from the original mutants are boxed with a hatched line. Asterisks denote the positions of second-site mutations. Nucleotides in bold italics in CM10b are upstream of the sat-RNA C wild-type hairpin and are predicted to participate in the mutant hairpin. Group I mutant produced no detectable accumulation of sat-RNA C species in plants. Group II mutants only accumulated following reversion to wild type or following reversions and/or second-site alterations. Group III mutants were able to accumulate in plants without secondary alterations. Group II/III mutant was intermediate between Group II and Group III (see text). WT, wild-type sat-RNA C.

with Cm10 accumulated sat-RNA C, although all recovered species contained various second-site alterations (Table 2). Nine of 13 clones derived from 2 plants contained a 5-base insertion (GGCUC) at position 353 that was predicted to further enlarge the hairpin of Cm10 and increase its stability by 1.7-fold while maintaining the 3-base single-stranded tail (Fig. 2). Since insertion of the same 5-base sequence was found in clones from more than a single plant, the insertion probably did not occur by simple nucleotide misincorporation mediated by the RdRp, but may involve primer extension of fragments generated by polymerase abortive cycling, as found for repair of deletions at the 3' ends of TCV sat-RNAs (Carpenter and Simon, 1996a,b; Nagy et al., 1997). The remaining minor species recovered from Cm10-inoculated plants had either a single second-site alteration or secondary alterations at the original mutation sites. The inability of Cm10 to accumulate in vivo in the absence of additional
mutations reflect prior in vitro analysis in which only very low levels of transcription products were observed using TCV RdRp extracts (Song and Simon, 1995).

Group III mutants (Cm3, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, and 16) were able to accumulate in all inoculated plants and the original sequence was maintained in all or nearly all clones derived from the plants (Table 2). Cm5 (compensatory exchange of 4 bases in the lower stem at positions 328–331 and 347–350), Cm7 (G332 to C), Cm8 (C332C to GG), Cm12 (U331 to G), and Cm16 (U335CCUCGGAC to GACCA) were also good templates for in vitro transcription (Song and Simon, 1995). On the other hand, Cm3 (deletion of G328) and Cm11 (compensatory exchange of 3 bases in the upper stem at positions 332–334 and 344–346) were weak templates in vitro (Song and Simon, 1995), yet were able to accumulate without reversions or second-site mutations in vivo.

The ability of Cm3 to accumulate in all inoculated plants was surprising, as this mutant was similar to Group II mutant Cm1 in sequence and structure. In Cm1, G328 was changed to an A residue, while in Cm3, deletion of G328 results in A327 being adjacent to the identical hairpin as predicted for Cm1 (Fig. 2). One explanation for why such similar sequences/structures at the 3’ end (differing only in the presence of an additional A residue in Cm1 just 5’ of the hairpin) led to such differences in accumulation in vivo is as follows: since sat-RNA C wild type and mutants can accumulate to similar levels in plants, a saturating level of sat-RNA may be reached even by an attenuating mutant. Both Cm1 and Cm3 may be able to accumulate in vivo and frequent nucleotide misincorporation by the error-prone RdRp is able to repair Cm1 (requiring a transition of A327 to G), leading to the much more efficient wild-type template, which becomes the only species that was cloned from noninoculated leaves in Cm1-infected plants. In support of this possibility was the finding that all 12 plants inoculated with Cm1 accumulated sat-RNA C, suggesting that there was sufficient replication of Cm1 in all inoculated plants to allow for reversions to take place. This is in contrast with the other Group II mutants Cm2 and Cm10, of which sat-RNA C accumulated in only one-third or two-thirds of the inoculated plants, respectively. For Cm3-inoculated plants, the RdRp may be unable to “repair” the hairpin of Cm3 (requiring an insertion of a G residue at position 328 or a transition of A327 to G) because of a low probability for insertion events or a requirement for maintenance of A327 for accumulation in vivo. Therefore, with a lack of competition from any wild-type revertants, Cm3 would be the only sat-RNA accumulating in Cm3-infected plants. Alternatively, there may be structural differences between Cm1 and Cm3 due to the additional A residue in Cm1 that affect other functions required for viability leading to the accumulation of Cm3 but not Cm1.

Cm9, a weak template in vitro (Song and Simon, 1995), contained two nonadjacent base alterations (C322 to G and C334 to A). While Cm9 progeny were able to accumulate in all plants, the majority species cloned from both plants was Cm9a, which contained a mutation of A334 back to C (Table 2, Fig. 2). Since Cm9 was able to accumulate sufficiently in plants to be readily cloned, but not as the majority species cloned from the plants, Cm9 was placed in an intermediate group (Group II/III) between Group II and Group III. Cm9a was predicted to contain a hairpin that was 1.3-fold more stable than Cm9, with a reformed 6-base single-stranded tail.

The only mutant with a promoter structure that differed significantly from wild-type, Cm4, did not produce detectable sat-RNA C in plants, possibly because of the inability of the TCV RdRp to recognize the terminal structure as a promoter element. All other mutants, including those of Group II, contained at least partially active promoters, since transcription by the RdRp is required for generation of reversions or second-site alterations. The predicted structures for Group II mutants were not noticeably distinguished from the predicted structures for Group III mutants, Cm9, and derivatives of Group II mutants that were the majority cloned species in plants (Fig. 2). This lack of defined features for less biologically active promoters makes determination of what is required to produce an efficient promoter difficult at present. However, a direct correlation was found between higher stability of the hairpin and the majority species cloned from plants inoculated with mutants Cm1, Cm9, Cm10, and Cm12. For the two remaining mutants, the majority species cloned from Cm2 was the wild-type revertant, which has a hairpin slightly less stable than the second-site mutant Cm2a. Cm7a, constituting one of eight clones from Cm7-inoculated plants, contained a hairpin of stability equal to that of Cm7. These results suggest that stability of the hairpin likely plays a role, but not the only role, in establishing what constitutes an efficient in vivo promoter. The current results also suggest that sat-RNA C containing less than a 6-base single-stranded tail and a wide variety of hairpin structures are recognized by the TCV RdRp in vivo, including hairpins only 50% as stable as wild type. Our results confirm previous in vitro findings that the sequence of the loop region is unimportant for promoter recognition. However, the conclusion from the in vitro study that the sequence of the upper stem was important, based on the low activity of Cm11 (compensatory exchanges of 3 bp in the upper stem), must be reassessed, since Cm11 was a Group III mutant for in vivo accumulation. The in vivo results for Cm11 suggest that the positioning of specific bases in the upper stem is not required to produce an active minus-strand promoter.

Analysis of effects of secondary mutations on efficiency of in vitro transcription using partially purified TCV RdRp

As described above, all mutants that were active templates for previous transcription studies in vitro (Cm5,
Cm7, Cm8, Cm12, and Cm16) were able to accumulate without second-site alterations in vivo. The converse, however, was not true, as several mutants that were poor templates in vitro (Cm3, Cm11, and Cm9) were also able to accumulate in vivo. To determine if species containing secondary alterations derived from Group II mutants had improved template activity for minus-strand synthesis in vitro, Cm2a, Cm10a, Cm10b, and Cm10c derived from Cm2 and Cm10 were tested for activity in an in vitro RdRp assay using partially purified TCV RdRp (Song and Simon, 1994). Cm7a and Cm9a, derived from Cm7 and Cm9, were also tested.

As shown in Fig. 3A and as described previously (Song and Simon, 1995), transcription of plus strands of sat-RNA C resulted in full-length minus strands (indicated by an arrow, Fig. 3A) and an unidentified doublet product that migrated slightly faster than full length and was present at a ratio with the full-length product that was dependent on the template (data not shown). Since mutations within the 3'-terminal hairpin led to different levels of the doublet product, it is more likely that these products are the result of internal initiation within the 3'-end hairpin and not premature termination. For this reason, only the full-length products from three independent experiments were quantified in the histogram shown in Fig. 3B. Cm2a, containing a second-site alteration of U331 to G, produced more than 2-fold more full-length minus strands compared with Cm2 (Fig. 3), while the major species recovered from plants inoculated with Cm10 (Cm10b) produced almost 8-fold more minus strands compared with Cm10, and was a much more active template than the minor species derived from Cm10 (Cm10a and Cm10c). Cm10b, which contained the most stable hairpin of all sat-RNA C accumulating in vivo, was also 4-fold more active than wild-type as a template for in vitro transcription. Cm9a, the major species cloned from plants also accumulating Cm9, contained a more stable hairpin than Cm9 and was about 200-fold more active in synthesis of the full-length product when compared with Cm9. The minor species cloned from Cm7-inoculated plants, Cm7a, was a poor template in vitro, although the stability of the hairpin was not predicted to change.

Therefore, with the exception of Cm2 and Cm7, the major species cloned from plants containing more than one related species had the most stable hairpin and the highest activity for in vitro transcription. However, results of these studies combined with previous in vitro transcription studies (Song and Simon, 1995) suggest that caution should be used when determining promoter requirements based solely on in vitro analyses. While all templates that were active in the in vitro reactions were also active templates in vivo, several templates with weak activity in vitro were nevertheless able to accumulate in vivo in the absence of secondary alterations. One possibility for differences in in vitro and in vivo results is if some templates generated for in vitro RdRp transcription did not fold into a structure required for activity in vitro but did assume the proper conformation in vivo. A second possibility is that our partially purified RdRp extract does not completely reflect the enzyme produced in vivo.

![Fig. 3. Activity of plus-strand sat-RNA C templates in in vitro transcription using partially purified TCV RdRp extracts. (A) Example of denaturing gel analysis of 32P-labeled minus-strand products synthesized using partially purified RdRp. The ethidium bromide-stained gel showing the relative levels of plus-strand templates is shown above the autoradiogram of the products. Arrow denotes the product migrating to the position of the full-length product. The identity of the faster migrating doublet products is not known but the ratio between the full-length and the doublet products was similar for each experiment. The amounts of truncated templates visible in the ethidium-stained gels varied between experiments and the amounts did not correlate with the levels of the doublet products. (B) Quantitative analysis of template activity. Autoradiograms and photographs of ethidium bromide-stained gels from three independent experiments (including template synthesis) were scanned with an imaging densitometer. Sat-RNA levels were normalized to the amounts of template RNA. Error bars denote standard error.](image-url)
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