A Pseudoknot in a Preactive Form of a Viral RNA Is Part of a Structural Switch Activating Minus-Strand Synthesis†
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RNA can adopt different conformations in response to changes in the metabolic status of cells, which can regulate processes such as transcription, translation, and RNA cleavage. We previously proposed that an RNA conformational switch in an untranslated satellite RNA (satC) of Turnip crinkle virus (TCV) regulates initiation of minus-strand synthesis (G. Zhang, J. Zhang, A. T. George, T. Baumstark, and A. E. Simon, RNA 12:147–162, 2006). This model was based on the lack of phylogenetically inferred hairpins or a known pseudoknot in the “preactive” structure assumed by satC transcripts in vitro. We now provide evidence that a second pseudoknot (ψ2−), whose disruption reduces satC accumulation in vivo and enhances transcription by the TCV RNA-dependent RNA polymerase in vitro, stabilizes the preactive satC structure. Alteration of either ψ3 partner caused nearly identical structural changes, including single-stranded-specific cleavages in the pseudoknot sequences and strong cleavages in a distal element previously proposed to mediate the conformational switch. These results indicate that the preactive structure identified in vitro has biological relevance in vivo and support a requirement for this alternative structure and a conformational switch in high-level accumulation of satC in vivo.

The inherent ability of RNA to switch conformations in response to different physiological conditions has fundamental implications for the regulation of many cellular processes, including transcription termination, protein translation, and RNA cleavage (1, 16). The need for RNA viruses to switch between mutually exclusive processes for genome amplification suggests that RNA switches may also control different steps in the virus life cycle. For example, plus-strand genomes must initially assume a conformation that is recognized by cellular ribosomes for translation of viral products such as the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp). At some point, the RNA must switch to a form that is not available for translation but contains cis−acting elements recognized by the RdRp, leading to initiation of minus-strand synthesis (37). Following reiterative synthesis of plus strands from minus-strand templates, newly synthesized plus strands of some viruses may not be templates for further minus-strand synthesis (2, 3), suggesting that these strands need to adopt a structure that is incompatible with RdRp recognition.

RNA conformational switches control RNA dimerization in retroviruses (6, 8) and ribosome activity in small virus-associated RNAs in vitro (10, 34). Changes in viral RNA conformation in 3′ regions of the genome that hide or expose the 3′ terminal (13, 18, 24) or permit the formation of important cis−acting structures (11) likely regulate initiation of minus-strand synthesis. Evidence for important alternative structures with no known function has also been reported (4, 5). Since RNA switches usually involve long-distance tertiary interactions that stabilize one of the RNA conformations (8, 11, 12), removal of cis−acting elements from their natural context for biochemical and biophysical analyses may lead to an oversimplification of how diverse elements are involved in many viral processes. However, efforts to identify conformational switches and equate RNA structure with biological function in intact viruses are complicated by large genome sizes. In addition, switches that may be replication specific are difficult to identify since both replication and translation occur on the same RNA. These problems can be overcome by analyzing the amplification of small, untranslated subviral RNAs (31). Subviral RNAs, such as satellite RNAs (satRNAs) and defective interfering RNAs, have limited genome sizes while containing all cis−elements necessary to utilize the replication components provided by their helper viruses.

Viruses in the family Tombusviridae, genus Carmovirus, are among the smallest and simplest of the plus-strand RNA viruses. One member, Turnip crinkle virus (TCV), is associated with several small, untranslated subviral RNAs (194 to 356 nucleotides [nt]) (Fig. 1) (30). TCV contains five open reading frames within its 4,054-nt single RNA genome, beginning with p28 and the ribosomal readthrough product p88 (7) (Fig. 1A). While both p28 and p88 are required for viral replication in vivo, p88 produced as a fusion with maltose-binding protein in Escherichia coli can alone transcribe complementary strands of TCV-associated subviral RNAs in vitro (23, 40). TCV subviral RNA satC (356 nt), which originated from recombining a second satRNA, satD (194 nt), with two regions of TCV genomic RNA, contains the 3′-terminal 151 bases of TCV, making it an excellent model for studying 3′-proximal elements required by the TCV RdRp for robust and accurate initiation of minus-strand synthesis.

By use of a combination of computer modeling and phylo-
genetic comparisons of carmoviral 3′-untranslated-region sequences, three plus-strand hairpins were determined to be structurally and spatially conserved among nearly all carmoviruses. These are (from 3′ to 5′) Pr, the core promoter for satC (33) and TCV (36); H5, a hairpin with a large internal symmetrical loop (LSL) of highly conserved sequence (42) that forms a pseudoknot with the 3′-terminal 4 nt (40); and H4b, a hairpin of unknown function. In addition, some carmoviruses contain a fourth hairpin of unknown function, H4a, located just upstream of H4b (Fig. 1B).

We recently proposed that a conformational switch affecting most of the 3′-terminal 140 nt of plus-strand satC may be an integral step leading to proper initiation of complementary-strand synthesis in vitro (41). This model was based on the finding that the initial (“preactive”) structure of satC transcripts prepared by in vitro transcription with T7 RNA polymerase does not contain H5, Pr, or the 3′ end/H5 interaction. Deletion of the 3′-terminal three cytidylates or 5′-terminal two guanylates, as well as specific mutations in the H5 region or addition of nontemplate bases to the 3′ end, caused a rearrangement of the Pr from its initial Pr-1 configuration to the alternative, phylogenetically inferred Pr-2 structure (41). This conformational rearrangement to Pr-2 correlated with a substantial (>20-fold) increase in the in vitro synthesis of complementary strands by purified, recombinant TCV RdRp. The Pr-2 form of the promoter was additionally associated with distinctive structural changes in a short element (CGGCGG) termed the derepressor (DR) and the adjacent H4a hairpin (Fig. 1B). Mutations in the DR reduced satC accumulation in vitro and in vivo (41). The proposal was made that a conformational switch requiring the DR region converts the preactive structure to the active structure prior to initiation of minus-strand synthesis in vitro (41). However, in the absence of in vivo confirmatory evidence, the biological relevance of this switch was unknown.

We now provide evidence for a pseudoknot between the loop sequence of H4b and sequence flanking the 3′ side of H5 that stabilizes the preactive structure. Mutations in either of two pseudoknot base pair partners resulted in a reduced accumulation of satC in vivo that was partially restored by compensatory mutations and caused distinctive, nearly identical structural alterations in the preactive structure in vitro that included specific changes in the DR region. These results indicate that the preactive structure identified in vitro has biological relevance in vivo and support a requirement for this alternative structure and a conformational switch in high-level accumulation of satC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construction of satC mutants. To generate plasmid CΔH4a, oligonucleotides T7C5′ and CΔH4a were used as primers for PCR with template pT7C(+) , a plasmid containing wild-type (wt) satC cDNA downstream from a T7 RNA polymerase promoter (all oligonucleotide sequences are presented in Table S1 in the supplemental material). For all constructs, unless noted, digestion with appropriate restriction enzymes produced fragments for insertion into the analogous location in pT7C(+), which was treated with the same restriction enzymes.
For H4A, oligonucleotide CALHaa was replaced by oligonucleotide H4AR. CALHbb and H4BR were generated with primers CALHbb and H4BR, respectively.

To construct CH5CCFV (43) was the template. Following digestion, the fragment was inserted into the analogous location in satC,, satC was similar to pT7C (+), except for the addition of an EcoRV site just downstream from H5 to aid in replacing the satC hairpins. Plasmids CH5CCFVCC, CH5CCFVAC, and CH5CCFVA were generated in a similar fashion using PCR primers 5'CCFVc+ 3'CCFVc and Asp718. Plasmids were constructed using PCR primers T7C5 and template CH5CCFV. CH5CCFVAC and CH5CCFVA were generated using primers T7C5 and either 5'CCFV+ A or CCFV+ A, respectively, and template satC. H4Ac/H5C/CH5CCFV was a similar fashion except that the fragment was inserted into the analogous location in H5C for H4B, PCR primers were T7C5 and C5CC4b and the restriction fragment was cloned into satC. H4Ac/H5C was generated similarly except that primer C5CC4b was replaced with CCFVH4Ab and the template was H4a. To construct H5C/CH5CCFV, PCR primers were T7C5 and C5CC4b and the template was H4b, with the fragment inserted into the analogous location in H5C. H4Ac/H5C was constructed in a similar fashion except that the template was replaced by H4Ac/Hb. Pr and PrH5C/CH5CCFV were generated using PCR primers T7C5 and C5CC4b and template satC or H5C/CH5CCFV, respectively. PCR products were cloned into pUC19. Plasmids Pr/H5C, Pr/H5C, Pr/H5C/CH5CCFVAC, and Pr/H5C/CH5CCFV were constructed by digestion of plasmids H4a, H4b, H4a/HHb, H4b/HHc/H5C, and H4a/Hb/HHc/H5C, respectively, with EcoRV and NotI and insertion of the fragment into the analogous location in Pr/H5C.

To generate G253C and C313G, PCR primers T7C5 and C5CC4b were used with template pT7C (+) and restriction fragments inserted into pT7C (+). For G253C/C313G, satC fragments (Smal-SpeI and SpeI-Smal) containing the individual mutations were combined. G253C and C313G were constructed in a similar fashion except that the template was replaced by H4Ac/Hb. Pr and Pr/H5C were constructed with PCR primers T7C5 and C5CC4b and template satC or H5C/CH5CCFV, respectively. PCR products were cloned into pUC19. Plasmids Pr/H5C, Pr/H5C, Pr/H5C/CH5CCFVAC, and Pr/H5C/CH5CCFV were constructed by digestion of plasmids H4a, H4b, H4a/HHb, H4b/HHc/H5C, and H4a/Hb/HHc/H5C, respectively, with EcoRV and NotI and insertion of the fragment into the analogous location in Pr/H5C.

In vivo functional selection. In vivo functional selection (35, 39) was performed to produce full-length satC RNAs with random sequence replacing the 16-base linker region between H5 and Pr. PCR was carried out using pC(-) as the template and primers T7C5 and C5CC4b (all oligonucleotide sequences are presented in Table S1 in the supplemental material). Five micrograms of satC transcripts containing randomized sequences were directly synthesized using T7 RNA polymerase and inoculated onto each of 29 turnip seedlings along with 2 µg of TCV genomic RNA transcripts. Total RNA was extracted from uninoculated leaves at 21 days post inoculation. Full-length satC was amplified by reverse transcription-PCR using primers C5CC4b and oligo7 and then cloned into the Smal site of pUC19 and sequenced. Inoculation of protoplasts and analysis of viral RNAs. TCV genomic RNA and satC RNAs were synthesized from plasmids containing T7 RNA polymerase promoters and linearized with Smal or directly from PCR products by use of T7 RNA polymerase. Protoplasts (5 × 106) prepared from callus cultures of Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Col-0 were inoculated with 20 nM of TCV genomic RNA transcripts with or without 2 µg of satC RNA transcripts by using polyethylene glycol-GalCl2, as described previously (14). Total RNA isolated from protoplasts at 40 h postinoculation (hpi) was subjected to RNA gel blot analysis. The RNA was probed with a [α-32P]ATP-labeled oligonucleotide complementary to positions 3990 to 3970 of TCV genomic RNA and positions 249 to 269 of satC. For analysis of minus-strand accumulation, the RNA was probed with an [α-32P]UTP-labeled riboprobe obtained from pT7C (+) by transcription with T7 RNA polymerase (17).

Preparation of RNA templates. In vitro transcription assays were performed at 37°C for 2 h in a 40 µl reaction mixture containing 40 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 10 mM dithiothreitol, 20 nM MgCl2, 25 mM NaCl, 2 mM spermidine, 1 mM each of ATP, CTP, GTP, and UTP, 8 µg of DNA template, and 40 U of T7 RNA polymerase (Promega). RNA used for solution structure probing was treated with S1 from RNase A (450 U/ml) for 2 h at 37°C. For sequence analysis, RNAs were phenol-Chloroform extracted, ammonium acetate (NH4OAc)-isopropanol precipitated, and then purified through a 6% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE)-8 M urea sequencing-length gel. Full-length RNA was excised from the gel and the RNA removed from the gel slice by shaking overnight in an equal volume of 0.6 M NH4OAc, pH 5.3, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, and phenol-Chloroform (1:1, vol/vol), followed by phenol-Chloroform extraction and NH4OAc-ethanol precipitation. RNA was resuspended in H2O. RNA transcripts were quantified with a UV spectrophotometer.

In vitro transcription using recombinant TCV RdRp. In vitro RdRp assays were carried out using recombinant TCV p88. The p88-expressing plasmid, a kind gift from P. D. Nagy (University of Kentucky), was transformed into E. coli Rosetta pLacI-competent cells (DE3; Novagen). Expression and purification of the recombinant protein were carried out as described previously (23). In vitro RdRp assays were performed in the presence of 10 mM Mg2+, the concentration used for RNA structure probing (32). Briefly, 1 µg of purified RNA template was added to a 25-µl reaction mixture containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.2), 100 mM potassium glutamate, 10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM each of ATP, CTP, and GTP, 0.01 mM UTP, 10 µc/ml of [α-32P]UTP (Amersham), and 2 µg of recombinant p88. After a 90-min incubation at 20°C, 1 µg of tRNA was added and the mixture subjected to phenol-Chloroform extraction and ammonium acetate-isopropanol precipitation. Radiolabeled products were analyzed by denaturing 5% PAGE-8 M urea followed by autoradiography.

RNA solution structure probing. RNA structure probing of satC and its mutants was performed using protocols and reagents obtained from Ambion. Briefly, gel-purified transcripts were 3' end labeled in a final volume of 20 µl containing 6 µg of transcripts, 50 µc/ml of [32P]UTP (Amersham), 20 U of T4 RNA ligase, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.8), 10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM dithiothreitol, and 1 mM ATP. After overnight incubation at 4°C, reactions were terminated by phenol-Chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. Labeled transcripts were analyzed by 6% PAGE-8 M urea. Full-length RNAs were excised from the gel containing 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 400 mM NaCl, and 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate. Following phenol extraction and ethanol precipitation, labeled transcripts were resuspended in H2O and added to a mixture containing RNA structure buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.0, 100 mM KC1, 10 mM Mg2+), yeast tRNA, and either H2O or RNase T1 (0.01 or 0.001 U/µl), RNase A (0.01 or 0.001 µg/ml), or RNase V1 (0.001 or 0.0001 U/µl) and incubated at 22°C for 15 min. Samples were precipitated, resuspended in 10 µl of loading buffer, and analyzed by sequencing-length 10% or 20% PAGE-8 M urea. Alkaline hydrolysis ladders were obtained by treatment of 3'-end-labeled transcripts with alkaline hydrolysis buffer (Ambion) at 95°C for 5 min. To obtain RNase T1 ladders, 3'-end-labeled transcripts were heated at 95°C for 5 min in buffer supplied by the manufacturer (Ambion) and then treated with RNase T1 (0.1 or 0.01 U/µl) at 22°C for 15 min.

Computational RNA structure determination. MPAfold (massively parallel genetic algorithm for RNA folding) (25, 26, 28, 29) was used to generate the secondary structure predictions for satC and satD. A detailed description of the algorithm is provided in the supplemental material. MPAfold uses a set of population elements, each representing a stochastically evolving RNA secondary structure that is subject to the standard genetic algorithm operators of mutation, recombination, and selection over multiple generations in a run. To analyze the results from MPAfold, STRUCTURELAB, a multifeatured RNA/DNA structure analysis (27), and one of its components, Stem Trace (9), were used to depict the results of 20 MPAfold runs of satC and satD at a population of 16,000.

RESULTS

H4a and H4b comprise a single functional unit that is important for satC accumulation in vivo. To better understand the structure and function of cis elements necessary for satC accumulation, we examined the phylogenetically conserved hairpins H4a and H4b for roles in satC replication. satC constructs containing deletion of each hairpin or replacement of each hairpin by their reverse complement were generated (Fig. 2A). Deletion of either H4a (CAH4a) or H4b (C/DH4b) reduced satC levels to 6% and <1% of wt satC levels, respectively (Fig. 2B). satC with the reverse complement of H4a (C44aR), which did not disrupt the stem but altered each position in the loop (Fig. 2A), accumulated to 42% of wt satC levels. In contrast, satC with the reverse complement of H4b (C44bR), which contained both sequence and structural alterations, accumulated to only 3% of wt levels. These results indicate that both hairpins are important for satC accumula-
tion and that both stem and loop sequences contribute to the function of H4a.

To determine if H4a and H4b interact with each other or with H5 or Pr, satC constructs in which one or more hairpins were replaced with the equivalent hairpins from the carmovirus CCFV were generated. The parental satRNA for these constructs, satCE, contains a 2-base alternation in the linker sequence between H5 and Pr to aid in cloning. A previous replacement of satC H5 with that of CCFV (construct CH5CCFV) resulted in undetectable accumulation in protoplasts, although the 3’ end/H5 LSL interaction remained theoretically possible (43) (Fig. 3B). Before making the single and multiple exchanges of the remaining 3’-proximal hairpins for the current study, we needed to enhance the accumulation of CH5CCFV to detectable levels so that the effect of additional replacement hairpins could be more quantitatively evaluated.

As an initial attempt to determine why satC with H5 of CCFV accumulated so poorly in protoplasts, CH5CCFV was inoculated onto six turnip plants along with TCV genomic RNA, and progeny at 3 weeks postinoculation were cloned and examined for any second site alterations that might have improved fitness. Seventy-two percent of recovered progeny (23 of 32 clones) had an identical transversion at position 218 (G218C), which is located within the DR sequence previously proposed to be important for the conformational switch between preactive and active structures in vitro (41). CH5CCFV containing G218C accumulated to 4% of wt levels (compared with undetectable accumulation of CH5CCFV), indicating that the second site alteration provided at least modest improvement (Fig. 3B). While G218C enhanced CH5CCFV accumulation to detectable levels, we did not want to proceed with hairpin exchanges using constructs containing an alteration in the DR region because of its apparent role in conformational changes in vitro (41).

Since poor accumulation of CH5CCFV might be caused in part by topological constraints due to the CCFV H5 lower stem missing 2 bases (1 bp) compared with satC H5, the 2 bases flanking natural CCFV H5 (C-A) were added, producing construct CH5CCFVCA. As shown in Fig. 3C, CH5CCFV was also constructed to contain A-C, A-A,
and C-C pairs flanking the CCFV H5 (constructs CH5CCFVAC, CH5CCFVAA, and CH5CCFVCC, respectively). These constructs accumulated to detectable levels that were two- to threefold lower than that of CH5CCFVCA (Fig. 3C), suggesting a preference for the natural flanking sequence. Constructs with a single insert of either the 5′ cytidylate or the 3′ adenylate (CH5CCFV3/H11032 and CH5CCFV5/H11032, respectively) accumulated to levels 7.5-fold lower than that of CH5CCFVCA, demonstrating that inserted bases flanking both sides of the hairpin were important for enhanced accumulation. Based on these results, additional hairpin exchanges that included CCFV H5 also contained the CCFV H5 C-A flanking sequences (H5CA).

We incorporated CCFV H4a, H4b, H5CA, and Pr in all pairwise combinations into their respective positions in satC and determined the effect of the heterologous hairpins on satC plus- and minus-strand accumulation in protoplasts (Fig. 4). CCFV H4a and H4b reduced satCE accumulation to 40% and 24% of wt satC levels, respectively (Fig. 4B). Replacement of both H4a and H4b with those of CCFV restored accumulation to 61% of satC levels. Enhanced accumulation when both CCFV hairpins are present suggests that H4a and H4b comprise a single unit and that function of the unit favors both hairpins originating from the same virus. When satC with CCFV H4a, H4b, or H4a/H4b replacements also contained CCFV H5CA or H5CA and Pr, all mutants accumulated to similar low levels (2 to 7% of satC levels) (Fig. 4B). This indicated that poor satC accumulation due to a heterologous H5 was not improved by the presence of additional CCFV 3′ hairpins.

satC with Pr of CCFV accumulated to 35% of satC levels (Fig. 4B), and this level was reduced an additional 17.5-fold when CCFV H5CA was also replaced. However, when both Pr and H4a were replaced, satC accumulated to 33% of satC levels, indicating that the negative effects of CCFV Pr and H4a on satC accumulation were not additive. In contrast, CCFV H4b reduced the accumulation of satC with the CCFV Pr to 12% of satC levels. The poor accumulation of satC with CCFV Pr/H4b was enhanced fourfold to 48% of satC levels when H4a also originated from CCFV.

We previously determined that mutations in H5 reduced accumulation of satC plus strands more than that of minus strands (compared with wt levels of plus and minus strands), despite H5 being a plus-strand element (43). This was suggested to reflect a secondary function of H5 as a scaffold for proper assembly of the RdRp in vivo (15). An assembly role was also suggested for the equivalent hairpin (SL3) in viruses from the genus Tombusvirus (21). Enhanced reduction in plus-strand synthesis due to TCV H5 mutations was attributed to an incorrectly assembled RdRp, which might exhibit differential impairment in transcription of plus and minus strands (43).

When minus- and plus-strand levels of the hairpin replacement mutants were compared with levels for satCE, the ratio of minus strands to plus strands was higher than that for satCE for nearly all constructs (Fig. 4B). The ratio was substantially higher for replacements of H5CA (4.9-fold), H5CA/H4b (8.5-fold), Pr/H5CA (4.5-fold), and Pr/H5CA/H4b (5.5-fold). In contrast, replacement of H4a, H4a/H4b, and Pr/H4a/H4b had near-satCE ratios of minus and plus strands. These results suggest that CCFV H4b, in the absence of CCFV H4a, is responsible for an impairment to satC accumulation similar to that previously found for H5.

H4b sequence forms a pseudoknot with sequence flanking the 3′ side of H5. Since alterations to H4b were more detrimental to satC accumulation than similar alterations to H4a, it seemed likely that the H4b portion of the H4a/H4b unit contained an element important for robust RNA replication. Previous results indicated that the conformation of the 3′ region of satC contains substantial tertiary structure based on most guanylates in the region losing susceptibility to the single-stranded-specific RNase T1 in the presence of Mg2+, which stabilizes RNA tertiary structure (38). Six guanylates in the H4a/H4b region had conformations that were altered by Mg2+, including...
G252 and G253 in the H4b loop (41). Examination of the 3' region of satC for sequences that might interact with the H4b loop revealed a possible candidate at positions 312 to 315 (UCCG), which flanks the 3' side of H5 and could possibly pair with H4b positions 251 to 254 (UGGA) (Fig. 5A). Randomization of positions 312 to 327 and selection for satC fitness in six plants (in vivo functional selection) revealed that all satC recovered in the initially inoculated plants contained sequence between positions 312 to 316 that could maintain pairing with at least the UGG in the H4b loop and up to two additional...
flanking bases (Fig. 5B). Comparative analysis of other carmo-
viruses also revealed nearly universal conservation of UGG in
their H4b loops and the existence of possible pairing partners
flanking the 3'/H11032 side of their respective H5 (Fig. 5A).

To test for a possible pseudoknot interaction between the
H4b UGGA and the UCCG flanking H5, two of the four
presumptive paired bases (G252:C314 and G253:C313) were
examined by construction of single compensatory mutations
(Fig. 5A, left). satC with the guanylate at position 253 con-
verted to a cytidylate (satC-G253C) or the cytidylate at posi-
tion 313 converted to a guanylate (satC-C313G) accumulated
to less than 2% of wt levels in protoplasts. satC with the
compensatory exchange (satC-G253C/C313G) accumulated to
50% of wt levels, strongly suggesting that re-established base
pairing between the two elements is responsible for partially
restoring satC accumulation. The second compensatory ex-
change also supported the formation of the pseudoknot, with
satC-G252C accumulating to 35% of wt satC and satC-
C314G accumulating to 2% of wt satC levels, and satC-G252C/C314G
accumulating to 56% of the wt level. The differing levels of
satC accumulation caused by mutations in the H4 loop (35% for
satC G252C and 2% for G253C) could have reflected the
possible formation of a three base-pair interaction between the
mutant H4b sequence in satC-G252C (251UCG) and a one-
base shifted sequence flanking H5 (314CGA). The pseudoknot
between H4b UGGA and the UCCG flanking H5 has been
termed $\Psi_2$.

Alterations of individual interacting partners in $\Psi_2$ gener-
ate very similar structural changes in the preactive form of
satC. While genetic and phylogenetic evidence supports the
existence of $\Psi_2$, this interaction could be topologically incom-
patible with the long H4b stem and H5 structure. However,
previous solution structure probing suggested that the 3'/ 140 nt
of wt satC adopts an initial “preactive” conformation in vitro
that does not appear to contain the phylogenetically conserved
hairpin structures (40, 41). If $\Psi_2$ is a feature of the preactive
structure, then solution structure analysis should reveal similar
or identical structural differences in satC-G252C and satC-
C314G, which contain disruptions in one $\Psi_2$ interacting se-
cquence or the other.

satC-G252G, satC-C314G, and satC-G252C/C314G were ra-
dioactively end labeled and subjected to partial digestion with
RNase T1, RNase A (specific for single-stranded pyrimidines),
or RNase V1 (specific for double-stranded or “stacked” nucle-
otides). Autoradiographs revealed that satC-G252C and satC-
C314G exhibited strikingly similar structural changes from wt
satC in three regions that were consistent in multiple indepen-
dent experiments. These were (i) RNase A cleavages at C313
and C314 in the UCCG element in satC-G252C and RNase A
cleavages at U312 and C313 and a new RNase T1 cleavage at
the engineered 314 guanylate in satC-C314G (Fig. 6), (ii) three
light cleavages in the wt DR region that were replaced by two
strong RNase T1 cleavages at G221 and G222 and a weaker
cleavage at G218 in satC-G252C and satC-C314G, and (iii) six
identical RNase T1 cleavages at positions 299 to 304 and 307 in
satC-G252C and satC-C314G. Four of these cleavages were
unusual in that the residues are pyrimidines (C300, U301, U302,
and U303), which are not normally susceptible to diges-
tion with RNase T1. While it is unclear what these unusual
cleavages imply structurally, nearly identical new cleavages in

FIG. 6. Disrupting either pseudoknot partner results in nearly identical structural changes in the preactive structure of satC in vitro. Names of
the transcripts used for solution structure analysis are given below each autoradiograph. Transcripts were subjected to limited cleavage with two
concentrations each of RNase T1 (T1), RNase A (A), or RNase V1 (V1). L, RNase T1 ladder; 0, no added enzymes. High and low concentrations
of each enzyme are indicated by the filled triangles above the lanes. Positions of guanylates determined from the T1 ladder reactions are given at
left, and the position of U280 is also shown. Locations of sequences within the phylogenetically inferred hairpins and flanking regions are denoted
by brackets at right. $\Psi$ denotes positions 312 to 315 (UCCG) involved in $\Psi_2$. Arrowheads denote new guanylates or cytidylates in constructs
containing C314G and G252C, respectively. Asterisks denote enzyme-specific cleavages that differ between mutants and wt satC. Filled circles
denote nonspecific pyrimidine cleavages by RNase T1 using mutant transcripts.
three regions of satC-G252C and satC-C314G transcripts, including new single-stranded-specific cleavages in the UCCG element, suggest that they result from disruption of $\Psi_2$ in the satC preactive structure. In support of this conclusion, G252/G253 were also consistently cleaved more strongly by RNase T1 in satC-C314G than in wt satC, suggesting that these residues have also adopted a more single-stranded conformation (Fig. 6). Curiously, there were no obvious differences in H4b loop cleavages in satC-G252C beyond a new weak RNase A cleavage at the engineered 252 cytidylylate. One possible explanation is that the alteration in the H4b loop sequence caused new pairing elsewhere, then the structure might not reflect the loss of pairing with the UCCG element. satC-G252C/C314G transcripts maintained all of the structural differences associated with the individual alterations (Fig. 6), indicating no evidence for re-formation of $\Psi_2$ in the compensatory mutant under the conditions used for the in vitro assay.

$\Psi_2$ stabilizes the preactive satC structure. To determine if $\Psi_2$ stabilizes the satC preactive structure, satC-G252C, satC-C314G, and satC-G252C/C314G were used as templates for in vitro transcription using purified recombinant TCV RdRp (p88). Our reasoning was that if satC-G252C or satC-C314G was a better template for in vitro transcription than wt satC, this would suggest that disrupting $\Psi_2$ reduces the stability of the preactive structure, thus lowering the activation energy of conversion of the two structures and shifting the equilibrium towards the active structure. A more stable active structure, which might be detrimental for cyclic satC replication in vivo, should enhance transcription in vitro, as this assay reports only on initiation of minus-strand synthesis (products are double stranded and not templates for further transcription). As shown in Fig. 5D, transcription of satC-G252C and satC-C314G by p88 generated 37% and 51% more complementary products, respectively, than were produced using wt satC, which is consistent with a conformational shift that favors the active structure.

satC-G252C/C314G had reduced transcriptional activity, with an average of 84% of wt satC levels, which suggested re-formation of $\Psi_2$ had occurred in the compensatory mutant. This is in contrast with the solution structure study, which indicated that satC-G252C/C314G did not detectably reform the wt satC preactive structure. However, if a portion of the transcripts had assumed the wt structure, this would not have been detected. In addition, the conditions used for in vitro transcription differ from the conditions used for structure probing. For example, in vitro transcription includes a lengthy incubation with the RdRp, which may promote re-establishment of $\Psi_2$.

Altogether, these results indicate that disrupting $\Psi_2$ is detrimental to satC accumulation in vivo (Fig. 5C) while increasing transcription of satC in vitro (Fig. 5D). This suggests that a preactive structure stabilized by $\Psi_2$ may be a necessary feature for robust satC accumulation in vivo, which must produce asymmetric levels of plus and minus strands in the proper cellular location. If this hypothesis is correct, then alterations that stabilize the active structure of satC should further reduce mutant accumulation in vivo while enhancing complementary-strand synthesis in vitro. satC with C279A, which closes the lowest position in the H5 LSL and is predicted to slightly stabilize the H5 stem (Fig. 5A), accumulated 10% better than wt satC in vivo, suggesting that the mutation may modestly shift the equilibrium towards the active structure in a nondetrimental fashion (Fig. 5C) (a similar enhancement in accumulation [15%] was also found when satC contains the more stable H5 of TCV [43]). When combined with C279A, satC-G252C, satC-C314G, and satC-G252C/C314G accumulation decreased between 20 and 30%. Transcription of satC-C279A by p88 in vitro generated 20% more complementary strands than wt satC, supporting a stabilized active structure for this mutant (Fig. 5D). When satC-C279A was combined with G252C (satC-C279A/G252C), transcription in vitro was enhanced an additional 30%. These results support a requirement for a preactive structure that is stabilized by $\Psi_2$ for robust accumulation of satC in vivo.

Possible interaction of the DR with $\Psi_2$. The strong cleavages in the DR region that accompany G252C or C314C alterations (Fig. 6) suggest that the DR may be structurally associated at or near the UGGA/UCCG interacting region in the preactive structure. The DR was first identified as important for satC accumulation by use of in vivo functional selection, which indicated that satC fitness was associated with the recovery of the sequence CGGCGG (35). An association between the DR and H5 was also indicated by the finding that the majority of progeny accumulating in plants inoculated with CH5cCFV had a second site alteration at position 218 (Fig. 3B). While this DR mutation enhanced accumulation of CH5cCFV- G218C reduced accumulation of wt satC by 72% in protoplasts and transcription in vitro to undetectable levels (41), leading to the suggestion that mutations in the DR inhibited the conformational switch.

We therefore wanted to determine if the G218C mutation affected the conformation of the satC preactive structure. Solution structure analysis of satC-G218C indicated a new RNase T1 site at position G304 within the H5 region and several other differences in the DR/H4a region (Fig. 6, right panel). These included a new RNase V1 cleavage at position 222, new RNase A cleavages at positions 228 and 232, and a new RNase T1 site at position 230. In addition, the RNase T1 ladder in the DR/H4a/H4b region was different than that of wt satC. Cleavages at positions G219, G221, G222, G238, and G235 in wt satC transcripts were absent, and there was a much stronger cleavage at G248. Since the ladder is generated using heat-denatured transcripts in the absence of Mg2+, lack of cleavage sites at known guanylates is generally taken as indicating rapid reformation of local secondary structure, despite fast cooling of the transcripts prior to enzyme digestion. Interestingly, satC-G218C transcripts also contained two new RNase V1 cleavages at C314 and G315 in the $\Psi_2$ UCCG sequence, suggesting that mutating the DR region affects the structure of $\Psi_2$. The G218C mutation had no effect on the structure downstream of position G315, including the Pr region (41).

satD contains elements similar to H5 and H4b. satD (Fig. 1A) shares no contiguous sequence similarity with TCV beyond several 3'-terminal bases yet accumulates to levels similar to satC levels (30). The advanced RNA secondary structure folding program MPAGfold was used to determine if satD contains elements structurally equivalent to H5 and H4b. MPAGfold uses the concepts of mutation, recombination, and survival of the fittest to evolve a population of thousands of possible RNA structures (25, 26, 28, 29). Two major confor-
motions of satD were present at the end of 20 MPGAfold runs (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). One of these structures, depicted in Fig. 7 and Fig. S2 in the supplemental material, contains an H5-like element composed of sequences from the 5'-end and 3'-regions that includes a nearly symmetrical interior loop with sequence similar to that of the satC H5 LSL, including the ability to form a pseudoknot with the 3' end of satD. Both satD structural solutions had an H4b-like hairpin at positions 102 to 115 with 10 consecutive residues identical to H4b of saguaro cactus carmovirus, including the nearly invariant UGG (Fig. 7 and Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). Formation of \( \Psi_2 \) is also possible with the CCA at positions 181 to 183 near the 3' side of H5. The presence of H5- and H4b-like structures in satD is likely an example of convergent evolution that occurred following the initial generation of satD. H4b and H5 therefore appear to be essential for robust replication by the TCV RdRp in vivo.

DISCUSSION

We previously proposed that initiation of satC minus-strand synthesis in vitro requires two mutually exclusive structures, an initial preactive structure that contains extensive tertiary interactions and an active structure that contains the phylogenetically inferred hairpins and a required pseudoknot (\( \Psi_1 \)) between the 3' end and H5 (41). The existence of the preactive structure was based solely on in vitro solution structure probing, which indicated that satC templates correctly recognized by the TCV RdRp did not appear to contain any of the phylogenetically inferred hairpins. The structure assumed by the transcripts persisted despite a heat/cool treatment, which is routinely used to reduce or eliminate kinetically trapped intermediates (20).

Our current results provide important evidence that the preactive structure is biologically relevant, and thus a confor-
ational switch is likely required to initiate satC minus-strand synthesis in vivo (Fig. 8). This evidence centers on a newly discovered pseudoknot, $\Psi_2$, which forms by pairing positions 251UGGA254 in the loop of H4b with 312UCCG315, which flanks the 3’ side of H5. Evidence for the pseudoknot includes the following: (i) compensatory alterations between positions 252 and 314 and between positions 253 and 313 that reestablish pairing enhance accumulation of satC in vivo compared with satC containing the individual mutations (Fig. 5), (ii) in vivo functional selection of satC with randomized linker sequences produces progeny that all have between 3 and 5 residues at the base of H5 capable of pairing with H4b loop sequence including the phylogenetically conserved UGG (Fig. 5B), and (iii) satC constructs containing mutations at either position 252 or position 314 have identical structural changes in vitro (Fig. 6). In addition, some progeny accumulating in plants inoculated with satC-C314G contain new alterations in either the H5 flanking sequence or the H4b loop sequence, each of which reforms the pseudoknot (R. Guo and A. E. Simon, unpublished). Compensatory analysis of the comparable interaction in TCV also supports the formation of $\Psi_2$ in the viral genomic RNA (J. C. McCormack and A. E. Simon, unpublished).

Results from the in vitro RdRp transcription assays (Fig. 5D) and solution structure probing (Fig. 6) indicate that $\Psi_2$ is present in and stabilizes the preactive structure. This interpretation is consistent with our previous finding that release of the 3’ end, which led to structural changes throughout the 3’-140 bases in vitro (and is equated with formation of the active structure), resulted in RNase A cleavage sites in the UCCG element (41). Since disruption of $\Psi_2$ did not lead to the same structural alterations in the DR/H4a, H5, and Pr regions as release of the 3’ end, disruption of $\Psi_2$ is likely necessary, but not sufficient, for conversion to the active structure.

The DR mutation G218C was previously proposed to inhibit the conformational switch to the active structure, based on very poor transcription of satC-G218C by the TCV RdRp in vitro (41). Our current results suggest that a structural relationship between the DR and $\Psi_2$ may exist. Mutations in either $\Psi_2$ partner sequence caused identical strong RNase T1 cleavages in the DR sequence. In addition, satC-G218C transcripts contained new RNase V1 sites in the $\Psi_2$, UCCG sequence. While it is possible that the G218C mutation stabilizes $\Psi_2$ and thus the preactive structure, it is also possible that the structural changes in the DR/H4a/H4b regions caused by the G218C mutation may be the primary basis of conformational switch inhibition, which could explain the reduced template activity of satC-G218C transcripts in vitro. RdRp transcription assays. Either explanation is consistent with a previous finding that satC-G218C together with H5 mutation C279A (which stabilizes H5 and thus the active structure) accumulated twofold better than satC-G218C in vivo (41).

The model shown in Fig. 8 also suggests that the preactive structure contains an interaction between H4a and H4b sequences. This is based on the finding that H4a and H4b are more functional when originating from the same virus source (Fig. 4). The structural similarity of CCFV and satC H4a and the presence of similar loop sequences suggest that the function of H4a is not merely to act as a scaffold for cohelical stacking of H4b. Although the CCFV H4b loop contains the UGGA necessary for formation of $\Psi_2$, poor accumulation of satC with CCFV H4b suggests that maintaining the H4a/H4b interaction may be a necessary requirement for $\Psi_2$ formation.

In addition to a connection with H4b, H4a may have a separate association with the conformational switch of the core promoter from Pr-1 to Pr-2. This is suggested by the finding that accumulation of satC with Pr of CCFV is not further depressed by coreplacement of H4a (Fig. 4B). With 10 consecutive base pairs, 8 of which are GC or CG pairings, the CCFV Pr may be limited to a single conformation, and thus H4a would not be required to support any Pr conformational switch. An association between H4a/H4b and Pr could explain why all satC mutants with the active Pr-2 structure also contain distinctive structural changes in the H4a region compared with wt satC (41).

If satC plus strands transcribed in vivo fold into the preactive structure, an important question is how satC converts to its active form for initiation of minus-strand synthesis in newly infected cells. Depending on the energy barrier between the two structures, the switch could be self-induced (16) or require a trans-acting element. In *Alfalfa mosaic virus*, coat protein binding to specific 3’-end sequences may compact the genomic RNA into its preactive structure, which would require a conformational switch to an active form consisting of a series of short hairpins (18, 22). Structural rearrangement of the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 leader RNA to a thermodynamically less stable, dimerization-competent form in vitro is mediated by the viral nucleocapsid protein that is thought to stabilize the branched, multihairpin structure (8). However, structure probing of the RNA in infected cells and virus particles did not confirm the dimerization-competent structure, suggesting the RNA already exists in dimeric form even while nuclear localized (19). If a trans-acting factor is required to mediate the satC structural switch, the most probable candidate is the viral RdRp, which could also promote an analogous switch in the genomic RNA between translation-competent and replication-competent forms.

In summary, our results suggest that a preactive structure is a necessary component for robust accumulation of a nontranslated viral RNA in vivo. Formation of a preactive structure by newly synthesized satC plus strands could allow satellite progeny to keep their 3’ ends hidden and promoters unavailable to the RdRp. Restricting access of RdRp to progeny plus strands would promote the continued transcription of initially infecting plus strands into minus strands and the continued synthesis of progeny plus strands from the minus-strand intermediates. This process would allow progeny to be “stamped” off of the original parental genome, reducing the number of potentially deleterious mutations (3). Recent evidence for alternative RNA structures in the 5’ and 3’ regions of plant, animal, and bacterial RNA viruses (5, 8, 13, 18, 24) suggests that conformational switches leading to initiation of minus-strand synthesis and possibly restricting RdRp access to de novo-synthesized plus strands may be a contributing factor to the replication of a number of plus-strand RNA viruses.
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